

Labour and Employment Law Bulletin

June 2005

Mandatory Retirement

On June 7, 2005, the Provincial Government introduced legislation that would effectively end mandatory retirement in the province of Ontario.

The Code

Currently, the Ontario Human Rights Code (the "Code") does not protect people aged 65 and over from discrimination in employment on the basis of age. As a result, employers can implement mandatory retirement policies which require employees to retire at age 65.

The Proposed Legislation

If the legislation is passed, employers will no longer be entitled to require employees to retire at age 65. Accordingly, agreements between employers and employees or mandatory retirement human resource policies that stipulate mandatory retirement at age 65 will no longer be effective.

Collective agreements are not exempt from a prohibition on mandatory retirement. As a result, after the proposed one-year transition period, collective agreements could not contain mandatory retirement provisions and employees in unionized environments cannot be forced to retire because of age.

However, the legislation will maintain the status quo with respect to disability plans, life insurance plans, and health benefit plans. The Government has announced it has no intention to require companies to extend health, disability and life insurance coverage to employees over the age of 65.

Accordingly, the provision of benefits to workers age 65 or older will continue to be at the employer's discretion. The legislation was introduced within days of the legislature's summer recess, which means it won't go to a debate and a final vote until the fall of 2006. Accordingly, the legislation is not expected to receive royal assent until the fall of 2006. The legislation provides that it will not become effective until one year after it receives royal assent. Accordingly, employers can reasonably expect this legislation to become effective during the fall of 2007.

During the one-year transition period (after the legislation receives royal assent), employers will still be able to enforce mandatory retirement at age 65.

The new legislation will not be retroactive, and therefore, employees who are forced to retire before the legislation becomes law will not be entitled to get their jobs back.

Bona Fide Occupational Requirement

After the legislation comes into effect, employers will no longer be able to terminate an employee's employment merely because that employee has reached a certain age. The exception to this is if the age can be shown to be a bona fide occupational requirement.

A bona fide occupational requirement is an employment requirement that is discriminatory on grounds such as disability or age, but that is allowed under the Code because of the nature of the employment. If the employer wants to establish that mandatory retirement at age 65 is a bona fide occupational requirement, it must establish:

- that the employer adopted the requirement (mandatory retirement at age 65) for a purpose rationally connected to the performance of the job;
- that the employer adopted the requirement in an honest and good faith belief that it was necessary to the fulfillment of that legitimate work-related purpose; and
- that the requirement is reasonably necessary to the accomplishment of that legitimate work-related purpose. It must be demonstrated that it is not possible to accommodate individual employees without imposing undue hardship on the employer.

These exceptions may permit mandatory retirement, for example, in the police and fire sectors.

If an employer cannot establish a bona fide occupational requirement, it will still be able to dismiss an older worker for reasons other than the employee reached a certain age, such as for cause or by corporate restructuring.

Notice of Termination of Employment

With respect to reasonable notice, the Employment Standards Act, 2000 ("ESA") currently provides that an

individual whose employment is terminated at age 65 as a result of a mandatory retirement policy or practice is not entitled to notice of termination or pay in lieu thereof. With the elimination of mandatory retirement, all eligible employees, regardless of age, would be entitled to receive notice of termination or pay in lieu of notice when their employment is ended by the employer.

We also anticipate that by terminating employees over the age of 65 an employer may be sued for damages for wrongful dismissal, and the amounts awarded to employees for wrongful dismissal are usually much higher than the ESA minimums for notice, or pay in lieu of notice.

Other Employment-Related Statutes

It should also be noted that the duty to accommodate obligations under the Code will remain the same. Older workers would not be subject to a lower standard of accommodation than other protected groups.

Under the Workplace Safety & Insurance Act, 1997 (“WSIA”) workers will still be covered for on-the-job

injuries past age 65, but they will be eligible for just two years of loss of income payments from the Workplace Safety & Insurance Board, unlike younger workers who are eligible for payments until age 65.

The WSIA currently provides that an employer’s obligation to re-employ after an employee is injured at work ends at age 65. Because the WSIA would be exempt from the prohibition on age discrimination, this provision would continue to apply.

Company pension plans will carry on as usual under the new legislation. Ontario’s current Pension Benefit Act does not prevent an employee from working past the normal retirement age of 65 and continue to accrue benefits and receive the pension when he or she retires.

The Canada Pension Plan is also unaffected by the legislation. An employee can apply to receive the basic federal pension at 65 and keep working (but no longer pay into the CPP).

Pallett Valo LLP Labour & Employment Law Group

Representing your best interests in an efficient manner is what our lawyers do best. We have the legal expertise and rich experience to provide creative and pragmatic solutions for a wide variety of employment-related issues. Our approach is to provide advice that minimizes the time, costs and disruption associated with labour and employment disputes. We represent our clients before various provincial quasi-judicial tribunals, in court, and at conciliation, mediation and other proceedings.

We provide support to management in drafting employment contracts and company policies, collective bargaining, collective agreement administration and grievance arbitration. We work for a diverse range of employers in the private and public sectors, and have specialized expertise in the construction industry.

Contact Members of the Labour & Employment Law Group at Pallett Valo LLP

Pamela Yudcovitch pamyudco@pallettvalo.com
Direct Dial: 905.273.3022 Ext. 218

Andy Balaura abalaura@pallettvalo.com
Direct Dial: 905.273.3022 Ext. 225

Maria McDonald mmcDonald@pallettvalo.com
Direct Dial: 905.273.3022 Ext. 263

The purpose of this document is to provide information as to recent developments in the law. It does not contain a full analysis of the law nor does it constitute an opinion of Pallett Valo LLP or any member of the Firm on the points of law discussed.

If you would like additional copies of the bulletin, or know of anyone who would be interested in joining our mailing list, please contact **Jenny Chiu** at jchiu@pallettvalo.com.